

# **TRIMLEY ST MARTIN PARISH COUNCIL PLANNING COMMITTEE**

Minutes of the meeting of Trimley St Martin Parish Council **Planning Committee** held via Zoom on Monday 7 December 2020 at 7.30 p.m.

Present: Cllrs Anderson, D'Arville, Owen, Rastrick and Smart and four members of the public

## **1. To Elect a Chairman**

Councillor Paul Rastrick was elected as Chairrman having been proposed by Councillor Smart and seconded by Councillor Anderson

## **2. Apologies for Absence**

Apologies for absence had been received from Councillor Parker

## **3. Declarations of interest and dispensations**

There were no declarations of interest or requests for dispensation.

## **4. To Confirm the Minutes of the Meeting of the Planning Committee held on 13 November 2019**

The minutes of the meeting of the Planning Committee held on 13 November 2019 were confirmed as a true record.

## **5. Public Session**

Members of the public raised a variety of concerns about the proposed development adjacent to Reeve Lodge reflecting on the extent of development in the village, the expected deleterious impact on air quality, the natural environment, the landscape and the potential for a loss of village character.

A resident provided a brief update on her efforts to find a site for community woodland.

## **6. To consider a response to the consultation being undertaken by Pigeon Investment Management in respect of plans for the development of the land adjacent to Reeve Lodge**

Members discussed the proposals and agreed to seek information on the cost of a traffic /air quality assessment and the question of whether, if obtained, these would be taken into account by East Suffolk District Council when considering any forthcoming application for outline planning permission. Comments to Pigeon would reflect the need for an environmental impact assessment and a visual impact assessment. Also to be reflected in the response was the concern was expressed about the lack of emphasis on sustainability. Further detail was needed in respect of the green space including the exact size of the plot which had been set aside. The layout of the housing seemed not to offer sufficient parking space

## **7. To consider a response to application DC/20/4795/FUL: a retrospective application for the erection of a Single Storey Outbuilding, Replacement Fencing Line & Changes to Existing Boundary Wall at 380 High Road**

Members discussed the application and concluded that they had no objection to make.

## **8. Close**

The meeting closed at 20.16